

Erfolgsstrategien bei Schenkelsfrakturen - wie vermeide ich Komplikationen?

Top Literatur

- 1 Vorteile der Zementierung bei Schenkelhalsfrakturen (SHF)
- 2 Vorteile der Zementierung bei hochaltrigen Patienten (Arthrose)
- 3 Komplikation Periprothetische Fraktur (PPF): Weniger PPF durch Zementierung
- 4 Komplikation Protheseninfektion (PJI): Dualbeladener Knochenzement zur Infektprophylaxe
- 5 Komplikation Implantationssyndrom: Risikofaktoren und Strategien zur Vermeidung von kardiopulmonalen Reaktionen

1 Vorteile der Zementierung bei Schenkelhalsfrakturen (SHF)

Fernandez et al. (WHITE 5 trial) Cemented or Uncemented Hemiarthroplasty for Intracapsular Hip Fracture. 610 patients. N Engl J Med **2022**; 386:521-530. [DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108337](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2108337)

Kristensen et al. Cemented or Uncemented Hemiarthroplasty for Femoral Neck Fracture? Data from the Norwegian Hip Fracture Register. 104,993 patients. Clin Orthop Relat Res (**2019**) 00:1-11. [DOI: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000000826](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.0000000000000826)

Parker et al. Cemented or uncemented hemiarthroplasty for displaced intracapsular fractures of the hip: a randomized trial of 400 patients. Bone Joint J **2020**;102-B(1):11–16. [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.102B1.BJJ-2019-1041.R1](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.102B1.BJJ-2019-1041.R1)

Viberg et al. Risk of mortality and reoperation in hip fracture patients undergoing cemented versus uncemented hemiarthroplasty. 17,671 patients. Bone Joint J **2022**;104-B(1):127–133. [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.104B1.BJJ-2021-0523.R1](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.104B1.BJJ-2021-0523.R1)

2 Vorteile der Zementierung bei hochaltrigen Patienten (Arthrose)

Bunyozy et al. Has the Use of Fixation Techniques in THA Changed in This Decade? The uncemented Paradox Revisited. Clin Orthop Relat Res (**2020**) 478:697-704. [DOI: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000001117](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.0000000000001117)

Tanzer et al. Is Cemented or Cementless Femoral Stem Fixation More Durable in Patients Older Than 75 Years of Age? A Comparison of the Best-performing Stems. Clin Orthop Relat Res (**2018**) 476:1428-1437 [DOI: 10.1097/01.blo.0000533621.57561.a4](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.blo.0000533621.57561.a4)

Grimberg et al. Online: [EPRD Jahresbericht 2021](#).

Mäkelä et al. Failure rate of cemented and uncemented total hip replacements: register study of combined Nordic database of four nations. (347 899 patients) BMJ **2014**;348:f7592 [DOI: 10.1136/bmj.f7592](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f7592).

3 Komplikation Periprothetische Fraktur (PPF): Weniger PPF durch Zementierung

Omari et al. Introduction of a New Treatment Algorithm Reduces the Number of Periprosthetic Femoral Fractures After Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty in Elderly Females. **2405 patients > 60 y.o.** The Journal of Arthroplasty 35 (2020) 3613e3620. [DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.06.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.06.077)

Dale et al. Fixation, sex, and age: highest risk of revision for uncemented stems in elderly women — data from 66,995 primary total hip arthroplasties in the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. **66,995 patients > 55 y.o.** Acta Orthopaedica 2020; 91 (1): 33–41 [DOI: 10.1080/17453674.2019.1682851](https://doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2019.1682851)

Hopman et al. Uncemented total hip arthroplasty; increased risk of early periprosthetic fracture requiring revision surgery in elderly females. **2635 patients >75y.o.** Journal of Orthopaedics 25 (2021). 40–44 [DOI: 10.1016/j.jor.2021.03.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2021.03.025)

Lindberg-Larsen et al. Increased risk of intraoperative and early postoperative periprosthetic femoral fracture with uncemented stems. 7,169 total hip arthroplasties from 8 Danish centers. **7169 patients > 18 y.o.** Acta Orthopaedica 2017; 88 (4): 390–394 [DOI: 10.1080/17453674.2017.1302908](https://doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2017.1302908)

4 Komplikation Protheseninfektion (PJI): Dualbeladener Knochenzement zur Infektprophylaxe bei SHF

Sprowson et al. The use of high-dose dual-impregnated antibiotic-laden cement with hemiarthroplasty for the treatment of a fracture of the hip. Bone Joint J 2016;98-B:1534–41. [DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.98B11](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.98B11).

Agni et al. A randomized clinical trial of low dose single antibiotic-loaded cement versus high dose dual antibiotic-loaded cement in patients receiving a hip hemiarthroplasty after fracture: A protocol for the **WHiTE 8 COPAL study**. Bone & Joint Open 2021 2:2, 72-78. [DOI: 10.1302/2633-1462.22.BJO-2020-0174](https://doi.org/10.1302/2633-1462.22.BJO-2020-0174)

DAICY trial – Dual vs. Single-Antibiotic Impregnated Cement in Hemiarthroplasty for Femoral Neck Fracture: A Registerbased cluster-randomized cross-over controlled trial. 7,000 patients. https://registercentrum.blob.core.windows.net/sfr/r/StudyProtocol_DAICY_220101-Sygv5OCrf9.pdf

5 Komplikation Implantationssyndrom: Risikofaktoren und Strategien zur Vermeidung von kardiopulmonalen Reaktionen

Donaldson et al. Bone cement implantation syndrome. Br J Anaesth. 2009 Jan;102(1):12-22. [DOI: 10.1093/bja/aen328](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen328)

Khanuja et al. Revisiting Cemented Femoral Fixation in Hip Arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2022 Jun 1;104(11):1024-1033 [DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.21.00853](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.21.00853)

Breusch et al. Zementierte Hüftendoprothetik – Verminderung des Fettembolierisikos mittels gepulster Druckspülung. Orthopäde **2000**;29:578-586; Springer Verlag 2000, [online available](#).

Griffiths et al. Safety guideline: reducing the risk from cemented hemiarthroplasty for hip fracture 2015. Anaesthesia 2015, 70, 623–626. [DOI: 10.1111/anae.13036](https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.13036)
